# Division of Water Human Health Criteria (HHC) Technical Workgroup General Agenda September 2015 Draft 9-10-2015

Purpose of Technical Workgroup: DEC is convening a technical workgroup to provide technical feedback on issues associated with the development of human health criteria (HHC) in state water quality standards.

# Outcomes:

- 1. A *draft* Technical Workgroup report identifying key issues, sources of information, and potential options for DEC to consider; and
- 2. Additional understanding of the complexity of the issue and potential effects on the regulatory process.

Date	Meeting or	Agenda
Bute	Teleconference	ngenda
August 20	Meeting/Teleconference	HHC 101: Role and Formula (DEC Staff)
August 20	Weeting/ relecontended	o EPA 2015 Recommended National Criteria
		o What is going on in other states interested in this issue?
		what is going on in other states interested in this issue.
		Issue 1: What information about fish consumption and fish
		consumption rate is available to inform HHC process?
		(DEC Staff)
		O What do we know from the Literature Review?
		o What did the reviewers tell us?
		<ul> <li>What role should the state play in helping to develop</li> </ul>
		Alaska specific data?
		<ul> <li>Should the state take a prescriptive approach</li> </ul>
		and establish accepted dietary survey
		protocols for tribes and parties seeking site-
		specific criteria?
		<ul> <li>Food Frequency Questionnaires v. Recall</li> </ul>
		Method
		o Can we extrapolate FCR data from ADF&G harvest
		data?*
0 . 20	T 1 C /W/ 1 :	Review Wolfe and Utermohle (2000)
Sept 30	Teleconference/Webinar	Issue 3: What is the appropriate Level of Protection for Alaska
		to consider?
		o FCR: Consumers v. consumers and non-consumers
		<ul><li>FCR: General v. high exposed population</li><li>Other Exposure Factors (DWI, BW, Relative Source</li></ul>
		Other Exposure Factors (DWI, BW, Relative Source Contribution)
		o Approaches used by other states
		7 Approaches used by other states
Oct 30	Meeting	Issue 4a: What should Alaska include when deriving a Fish
	Note that this meeting	Consumption Rate?
	will take place	o Sources of fish and shellfish
	immediately following the	o Local v. commercial
	Public Workshop in	o Role of salmon- what OR/WA/ID did and didn't do
	Anchorage	o Role of marine mammals- May be tabled for a later
		discussion
		o Approach(es) used by other states

# Division of Water Human Health Criteria (HHC) Technical Workgroup General Agenda September 2015 Draft 9-10-2015

Purpose of Technical Workgroup: DEC is convening a technical workgroup to provide technical feedback on issues associated with the development of human health criteria (HHC) in state water quality standards.

# Outcomes:

- 1. A *draft* Technical Workgroup report identifying key issues, sources of information, and potential options for DEC to consider; and
- 2. Additional understanding of the complexity of the issue and potential effects on the regulatory process.

Date	Meeting or Teleconference	Agenda
December	Teleconference/Webinar	Issue 4b: What is the role of Relative Source Contribution (RSC)
		and what are Alaska's options?
		o Description of RSC
		<ul> <li>Approaches used by other states</li> </ul>
		o Opportunities for DEC to consider
January	Teleconference/Webinar	Issue 2: What options does Alaska have for developing criteria on a statewide/regional/site-specific basis
		o pros/cons
		o Sources of information
		<ul> <li>Potential issues for DEC to explore further</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Does ADF&amp;G harvest data demonstrate regional trends in FCRs?</li> </ul>
February	Teleconference/Webinar	Issue 2a: Modeling of the Criteria
		o Deterministic v. Probabilistic (Arcadis Presentation?)
		o The Florida/Idaho example (FL DEQ)
March	Teleconference/Webinar	Issue 3 (revisit): What is the appropriate Level of Protection for
		Alaska to consider?
		o Bioconcentration v. Bioaccumulation- how does it
		factor into the HHC process and what flexibility does
		that process have?
		<ul> <li>Idaho approach (maybe Washington if they</li> </ul>
		have something)
		O Carcinogenic Risk Factor
		<ul> <li>Controversy in Washington</li> <li>DEC regulations = 18 AAC 70, 18 AAC 75</li> </ul>
		■ DEC regulations – 18 AAC 70, 18 AAC 75
April	Meeting or	Issue 5: What are Alaska's options for implementing the
-	Teleconference/Webinar?	proposed criteria?
		o General Implementation
		<ul> <li>Compliance Schedules</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Intake Credits</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Variances</li> </ul>
		<ul> <li>Other: SSC/Designated Use revision</li> </ul>
		(Subsistence fishing)
		o Problematic Discharges*
		<ul> <li>Arsenic</li> </ul>
		<ul><li>PCBs</li></ul>

# Division of Water Human Health Criteria (HHC) Technical Workgroup General Agenda September 2015 Draft 9-10-2015

Purpose of Technical Workgroup: DEC is convening a technical workgroup to provide technical feedback on issues associated with the development of human health criteria (HHC) in state water quality standards.

# Outcomes:

- 1. A *draft* Technical Workgroup report identifying key issues, sources of information, and potential options for DEC to consider; and
- 2. Additional understanding of the complexity of the issue and potential effects on the regulatory process.

Date	Meeting or Teleconference	Agenda
		<ul> <li>Mercury</li> </ul>
		• Other
		o Detection Limit issues- set criterion at MDL or
		o Toxics in the larger context
		o Washington example
May	Teleconference/Webinar	First Draft Workgroup Report
July	Teleconference/Webinar	Draft Final Workgroup Report

<sup>\*</sup>May required additional discussion and representation from other programs